

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/527,066 03/17/00 RUGG D 5544.1 41342 **EXAMINER** QM12/0710 DANIEL J MEANEY JR ESQ GARRE **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P 0 BOX 22307 SANTA BARBARA CA 93121 3727 **DATE MAILED:** 07/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

i i

		Applicati ı	nN.	Applicant(s)	_
•	•	09/527,066		RUGG, DOUGLAS	
	Offic Acti n Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Stephen P.		3727	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no even ply within the statut d will apply and will ite, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the eation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>				
2a)⊠	•	This action is r		et a status and the to	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachmen	•				
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3727

1. Figures 1-3 of the drawings are objected to as being inconsistent with one another. In particular, reference number 60 indicates the carrying handle, generally, in Figure 1 while in Figure 2 it indicates one element of the handle. Also, Figures 1 and 3 illustrate strap 64 and hook 80 as being attached to different elements while Figure 2 illustrates them as being attached to the same element. Furthermore, Figure 2 illustrates an element which is unnumbered and located to the left of element 60 and which is not illustrated in Figures 1 and 2. Also, to be consistent with Figures 1 and 3, the top of loop 90 should be visible in Figure 2. Clarification is required.

In addition, the written description on page 15 appears to be inconsistent with these figures. Page 15 states that strap 64 is connected to element 76 which has a hook 80 attached to it. However, Figures 1 and 3 illustrate element 76 attached to strap 90, but not to hook 80. Hook 80 is attached to another element which is not numbered.

Figures 30, 31, and 32 are objected to because reference numbers 748, 768, and 788, respectively, are used to indicate two different elements.

The written description of Figure 31 on page 22 is objected to. In particular, the embodiment of Figure 31 doesn't appear to be a combination of Figures 12 and 14. The handle in Figure 31 is totally different from the ones in Figures 12 and 14. The written description of Figure 32 is objected to for the same reason.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 3727

3. Claims 1-17 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is no original disclosure of a bag comprising "a single outer member," as recited in claims 1 and 21. Claims 2-16, 22, and 23 include the same new matter because they include all of the limitations of their respective parent claims.

4. Claims 7-17 are further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1, the claim from which these claims depend, is specific to the embodiments illustrated in Figures 30-32 because they are the only embodiments which have the claimed handle construction. Thus, these claims are drawn to new matter because none of those embodiments includes an outer pocket.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This claim has the same new matter as claims 7-17.

Art Unit: 3727

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as failing to illustrate a bag having the claimed handle construction and an outer pocket. No new matter may be added.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eaton et al. (Eaton).

It is submitted that Eaton's pocket inherently "protrudes outwardly" because it is on the exterior of the bag. Furthermore, the pocket will "protrude outwardly" when an item is contained therein. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to modify Eaton's pocket to protrude outwardly because it would be easier to open for the insertion of contents.

Art Unit: 3727

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eaton et al. (Eaton).

A portion of Eaton's fastening member extends in a direction substantially perpendicular to the ends of the handle. Furthermore, it is submitted that Eaton's pocket inherently "protrudes outwardly" because it is on the exterior of the bag.

Furthermore, the pocket will "protrude outwardly" when an item is contained therein.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to modify Eaton's pocket to protrude outwardly because it would be easier to open for the insertion of contents.

- 10. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claim 21. Furthermore, to have provided Eaton's bag with an additional exterior pocket would have been an obvious duplication of parts.
- 11. Claims 1-17 and 23 are not rejectable over prior art.
- 12. Applicant's arguments filed with the reply have been considered but are not persuasive. The arguments regarding Friedler are moot because Friedler is no longer being used to reject any claims. The argument that it is unclear whether Eaton et al. disclose a pocket are not persuasive. Figures 3 and 4 of Eaton clearly disclose a pocket. The argument that Eaton's pocket doesn't protrude is addressed in the rejections above.
- 13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/527,066

Art Unit: 3727

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579 or 3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Stephen Garbe at telephone number (703) 308-1207.

Stephen P. Garbe Primary Examiner Group 3720

# Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

### INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

#### 1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

## 2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

### **Timing of Corrections**

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections <u>within the time period set in the attached Office communication</u>. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.